1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California PAUL C. AMENT Supervising Deputy Attorney General E. A. JONES, III, State Bar No. 71375 Deputy Attorney General ELAINE GYURKO Senior Legal Analyst	
2		
3		
4		
5	California Department of Justice 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702	
6 7	Los Angeles, California 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-4944 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9	BEFORE THE RESPIRATORY CARE BOARD	
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. R-2083
13	SHARI SULLIVANT 23641 Palomino Drive	ACCUSATION
14	Diamond Bar, California 91765	
15	Respiratory Care Practitioner License No. 1772	
16	Respondent.	
17		
18	Complainant alleges:	
19	<u>PARTIES</u>	
20	1. Stephanie Nunez (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her	
21	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Respiratory Care Board of California (Board),	
22	Department of Consumer Affairs.	
23	2. On or about May 10, 1985, the Board issued Respiratory Care Practitioner	
24	License Number 1772 to Shari Sullivant (Respondent). This license expired on August 31, 2006,	
25	and has not been renewed.	
26	<u>JURISDICTION</u>	
27	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the	
28	following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless	

otherwise indicated.

- 4. Section 3710 of the Code states: "The Respiratory Care Board of California, hereafter referred to as the board, shall enforce and administer this chapter [Chapter 8.3, the Respiratory Care Practice Act]."
- 5. Section 3718 of the Code states: "The board shall issue, deny, suspend, and revoke licenses to practice respiratory care as provided in this chapter."
  - 6. Section 3750 of the Code states:

"The board may order the denial, suspension or revocation of, or the imposition of probationary conditions upon, a license issued under this chapter, for any of the following causes:

٠٠..

"(d) Conviction of a crime that substantially relates to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner. The record of conviction or a certified copy thereof shall be conclusive evidence of the conviction.

٠ . . .

"(j) The commission of any fraudulent, dishonest, or corrupt act which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner.

" ,,

# 7. Section 3752 of the Code states:

"A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a charge of any offense which substantially relates to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article. The board shall order the license suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a license, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to

enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment."

8. California Code of Regulations, Title 16, section 1399.370, states:

"For the purposes of denial, suspension, or revocation of a license, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a respiratory care practitioner, if it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee to perform the functions authorized by his or her license or in a manner inconsistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to those involving the following:

" . . .

"(b) Conviction of a crime involving fiscal dishonesty theft, or larceny.

" "

9. Section 118 of the Code states:

" . . .

- "(b) The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license issued by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the board, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the licensee on any such ground.
- "(c) As used in this section, 'board' includes an individual who is authorized by any provision of this code to issue, suspend, or revoke a license, and 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'registration,' and 'permit.'"

### COST RECOVERY

10. Section 3753.5, subdivision (a) of the Code states:

"In any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the board,

the board or the administrative law judge may direct any practitioner or applicant found to have committed a violation or violations of law to pay to the board a sum not to exceed the costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case."

#### 11. Section 3753.7 of the Code states:

"For purposes of the Respiratory Care Practice Act, costs of prosecution shall include attorney general or other prosecuting attorney fees, expert witness fees, and other administrative, filing, and service fees."

12. Section 3753.1, subdivision (a) of the Code states:

"An administrative disciplinary decision imposing terms of probation may include, among other things, a requirement that the licensee-probationer pay the monetary costs associated with monitoring the probation."

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of a Crime)

- 13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 3750, subdivision (d), and 3752 of the Code, and California Code of Regulations, Title 16, section 1399.370, subdivision (b), in that she was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a respiratory care practitioner. The circumstances are as follows:
  - A. Between on or about February 2, 2004, and May 26, 2004, Respondent unlawfully took checks, cash, and a coin collection of a value exceeding \$400.00, which belonged to the victim and the victim's husband. Between on or about February 4, 2004, and May 25, 2004, Respondent conducted monetary transactions through a financial institution which involved the victim's checks, Citibank Official checks, and Fidelity checks in amounts exceeding \$5,000.00 which were derived from criminal activity. Between on or about February 4, 2004, and May 25, 2004, Respondent signed a fictitious name to the victim's checks which were made out to "Cash," "Shari Sullivant" and "Registered Solutions" in various amounts from \$600.00 to \$20,000.00. Between on or about February 13, 2004, and May 20, 2004, Respondent forged the victim's checks

which were made out to "Shari Sullivant," "Cash," "Cash Shari Sullivant" and "James Toreson" in various amounts from \$1,200.00 to \$22,000.00.

- B. On or about August 19, 2004, in Los Angeles County Superior Court Complaint No. SA053601, Respondent was charged with grand theft by embezzlement, in violation of Penal Code section 487(a), a felony (Count 1), with the special allegation of taking property of a value exceeding \$50,000.00, within the meaning of Penal Code section 12022.6(a).
- C. On or about April 18, 2006, in Los Angeles County Superior Court Information No. SA053601, Respondent was charged with grand theft of property over \$400.00, in violation of Penal Code section 487(a), a felony (Count 1); conducting a criminal transaction involving a monetary instrument exceeding \$5,000.00, in violation of Penal Code section 186.10(a), a felony (Counts 2, 14, 17, 20, 22, 27, 28, 33 and 34); forgery by signing the name of another, in violation of Penal Code section 470(a), a felony (Counts 3-6, 15, 21, 23-25, 29-31 and 35-39); and forgery by altering a check, in violation of Penal Code section 470(d), a felony (Counts 7-13, 16, 18, 19, 26 and 32). All of the Counts included the special allegation of taking property of a value exceeding \$150,000.00, within the meaning of Penal Code section 12022.6(a)(2).
- D. On or about September 1, 2006, pursuant to a negotiated plea agreement, Respondent was convicted upon her plea of nolo contendere to grand theft (Count 1). She admitted the special allegation of taking property exceeding \$150,000.00.
- E. On or about September 12, 2006, Respondent was placed on probation for five years on a number of terms and conditions, among others: serve 1 day in county jail (with credit for 1 day), perform 100 hours of community service, make restitution to the victim in the amount of \$224,000.00 and pay a restitution fine in the amount of \$200.00. Pursuant to the negotiated plea agreement, Counts 2-39 of the information were dismissed.

## 1 SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 2 (Commission of a Fraudulent, Dishonest or Corrupt Act) 3 14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 3750, 4 subdivision (j), of the Code, in that she committed a fraudulent, dishonest or corrupt act 5 substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner. The facts and circumstances set forth in Paragraph 13 of this Accusation are incorporated herein 6 7 by reference. 8 PRAYER 9 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein 10 alleged, and that following the hearing, the Respiratory Care Board issue a decision: 11 1. Revoking or suspending Respiratory Care Practitioner License Number 1772 issued to Shari Sullivant; 12 13 2. Ordering Shari Sullivant to pay the Respiratory Care Board the costs of the 14 investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs of probation 15 monitoring; and 16 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 17 **DATED:** June 7, 2007 18 19 Original signed by Christine Molina for: 20 STEPHANIE NUNEZ **Executive Officer** 21 Respiratory Care Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs 22 State of California Complainant 23 24 25 26 27 28